Case 3:11-cv-01854-JST Document 192 Filed 01/21/14 Page 1 of 7

3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	mes Kan, ČA Bar No. 240749 an@gbdhlegal.com OLDSTEIN, BORGEN, DARDARIAN & HO OLakeside Drive, Suite 1000 akland, CA 94612 elephone: 510.763.9800 acsimile: 510.835.1417 ttorneys for Plaintiffs and Proposed Class kdditional Counsel on Signature Page) ominick C. Capozzola (SBN 217381) GLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & TEWART, P.C. O Madison Ave., Suite 400 forristown, NJ 07690 elephone: (973) 656-1600 acsimile: (973) 656-1611 mail: dominick.capozzola@ogletreedeakins.com ttorneys for Defendant SimplexGrinnell LP kdditional Counsel on Signature Page) UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
116 117 118 119 120 121 122 122 123 124 125 126 127 128	DON C. BENNETT, COMERLIS DELANEY, GARY ROBINSON, DARREN SCOTT, and JON HOTZLER, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. SIMPLEXGRINNELL, LP, Defendant.	Case No. 11-1854 JS CORRECTED STIFE [PROPOSED] ORD EXPERT DISCOVES SCHEDULES, ANI Complaint Filed: First Amended Complaint Filed: Second Amended Complaint Filed: Third Amended Complaint Filed: Trial Date: Judge:	PULATION AND DER REGARDING ERY, MOTION	

Case No. 11-1854 JST (NJV)

CORRECTED STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING EXPERT DISCOVERY, MOTION SCHEDULES, AND MEDIATION

1	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs served the Expert Report of Robert Fountain, Ph.D., on November			
2	25, 2013;			
3	WHEREAS, Defendant served the rebuttal report of Robert Crandall, M.B.A., on			
4	December 16, 2013;			
5	WHEREAS, Defendant took the deposition of Dr. Fountain in Portland, Oregon on			
6	December 18, 2013;			
7	WHEREAS, Defendant filed two motions on December 19-20, 2013: a Motion to Preclude			
8	the Expert Report and Testimony of Dr. Robert Fountain, and a Motion to Deny Class Certification			
9	on December 19, 2013. Both motions centered on Dr. Fountain's November 25, 2013 report, and			
10	both motions cited to deposition testimony taken from his deposition;			
11	WHEREAS, on January 8, 2014, Plaintiffs served a "Revised Report on Bennett vs.			
12	SimplexGrinnell, Estimates of Damages from Nonpayment of Prevailing Wages," in which Dr.			
13	Fountain revised his written report and the underlying computer programs that produce his			
14	conclusions. In the cover email associated with this report, Plaintiffs' counsel asserted that the			
15	revised report makes minor corrections and does not change Dr. Fountain's methodology;			
16	WHEREAS, on January 13, 2014, Defendant sent Plaintiffs a letter objecting to what it			
17	viewed as an untimely and improper report. Defendant further indicated that it disagreed with			
18	Plaintiffs about the extent of the changes, and stated that it believed grounds existed to move to			
19	strike the report. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(c).			
20	WHEREAS, in an effort to meet and confer about the possible motion to strike, Defendant			
21	proposed an alternative resolution to motion practice, which the parties have adopted and			
22	incorporated into this stipulation after further discussion and refinement. The parties agree that the			
23	mutual agreements and promises constitute valid consideration. The terms of the stipulation are as			
24	follows:			
25	Defendant agrees not to file a motion to strike the Revised Report, and further, it			
26	agrees to accept a Second Revised Report that makes one additional change to the Revised Report,			
27	namely, it eliminates approximately 800 rows of "ACE data" used in Dr. Fountain's original			
28	report;			
	1 Case No. 11-1854 JST (NJV)			

1	2014;			
2	5. Plaintiffs shall have until March 4, 2014 to depose Defendant's rebuttal expert;			
3	6. Defendant shall withdraw without prejudice its pending Motion to Preclude the			
4	Expert Report and Testimony of Dr. Robert Fountain (Docket No. 177) and its Motion to Deny			
5	Class Certification (Docket No. 176);			
6	7. The deadline for all parties to file dispositive motions, motions relating to class			
7	certification, and <i>Daubert</i> motions shall be March 27, 2014;			
8	8. The deadline for mediation shall be June 2, 2014;			
9	9. Irrespective of what Plaintiffs learn at Dr. Fountain's second deposition, or at any			
10	other time in the course of this litigation, any further revisions to Dr. Fountain's report shall be			
11	grounds for striking the report, except that Dr. Fountain can apply the same methodology,			
12	programs and techniques to any new data that is provided by SimplexGrinnell, as the case gets			
13	closer to trial, to address any work performed beyond the last date for which Dr. Fountain has been			
14	able to complete his analysis			
15	Dated: January 17, 2014 Respectfully submitted,			
16	By: /s/ Raymond C. Fay			
17	Raymond C. Fay Fay Law Group PLLC			
18	1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 200 Washington, DC 20036			
19	Telephone: (202) 822-5100 Facsimile: (202) 822-4997			
20	Email: rfay@faylawdc.com			
21	Bruce E. Menken Jason Rozger			
22	BERANBAUM MENKEN LLP 80 Pine Street, 33rd Floor			
23	New York, NY 10005 Telephone: (212) 509-1616			
24	Facsimile: (212) 509-8088 Email: bmenken@nyemployeelaw.com			
25	jrozger@nyemployeelaw.com			
26	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Proposed Class			
27				
28	2 Cosa No. 11 1954 IST (NI I I		

Case 3:11-cv-01854-JST Document 192 Filed 01/21/14 Page 5 of 7

1	Dated: January 17, 2014
2	By: /s/ Dominick Capozzola
3	Dominick Capozzola (SBN 217381) Carolyn B. Hall (SBN 212311) Jocelyn A. Merced, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>
4	OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.
5	Steuart Tower, Suite 1300 One Market Plaza
6	San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 442-4810
7	Facsimile: (415) 442-4870 Email:dominick.capozzola@ogletreedeakins.com
8	carolyn.hall@ogletreedeakins.com jocelyn.merced@ogletreedeakins.com
9	Attorneys for Defendant SimplexGrinnell LP
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
I.	4 Case No. 11-1854 JST (NJV)

ATTESTATION Pursuant to General Order 45(X), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories. Dated: January 17, 2014 By: /s/ Dominick Capozzola Dominick C. Capozzola OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C. Attorneys for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER Pursuant to the Stipulation of the parties and GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS SO ORDERED that the Stipulation is accepted by the Court and that the Scheduling Order in this case is revised accordingly. DATED: January 21 2014 ted States District Court Judge

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Case No. 11-1854 JST (NJV)